Certain problems of the structural mechanics of composite materials cannot be solved in the framework of a linear theory (for example, problems of stability and wave propagation in prestrained inhomogeneous materials). The present paper proposes a method for calculation of macroscopic elastic moduli of the second and third orders. A microinhomogeneous medium is investigated in the approximation of a geometrically linear theory. Estimates of the moments of strain fields in the components are obtained by using a nonlinear formulation of the effective field method [1-4]. The method rests on a solution of the problem of binary interaction of inclusions present in the effective field. The deformations within each inclusion are assumed to be homogeneous. The second moments of the strain fields in the components are assumed to be uniform.

1. General Relations. In a macrovolume w with the characteristic function $W$, we consider a mixture of elastic components whose mechanical properties are described by a geometrically linear theory (under the classification of [5], it is the second variant of small initial deformations). The strain tensor $\varepsilon_{i j}$ is linked with the components of the displacement vector $u_{i}$ by the relation

$$
\varepsilon_{i j}=\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right) / 2,
$$

The characteristic equation appears as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=L \varepsilon+\mathscr{L} \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the Murnaghan potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=(1 / 2) \lambda A_{1}^{2}+\mu A_{2}(a / 3) A_{1}^{3}+b A_{1} A_{2}+(c / 3) A_{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(A_{1}=\varepsilon_{i j}, A_{2}=\varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{i j}, A_{3}=\varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{j k} \varepsilon_{k i}\right.$ are the algebraic invariants of the strain tensors). We obtain from (1.1) and (1.2) and the relation $\sigma_{i j}=(1 / 2)\left(\partial / \partial \varepsilon_{i j}+\partial / \partial \varepsilon_{j i}\right) \phi$ the following expressions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{i j h l}=3 k N_{i j k l}^{1}+2 \mu N_{i j h l}^{2}, N_{i j k l}^{1}=(1 / 3) \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \\
N_{i j k l}^{2}=I_{i j k l}-N_{i j k l}^{1}, I_{i j m n}=\left(\delta_{i m} \delta_{j n}+\delta_{i n} \delta_{j m}\right) / 2 \\
\mathscr{L}_{i j k l m n}=3 a \delta_{i j} N_{m n k l}^{1}+b\left(\delta_{i j} I_{m n k l}+\delta_{m n} I_{i j k l}+\delta_{k l} I_{m n i j}\right)+c J_{i j m n k l}, \\
J_{i j m n k l}=\left(I_{i p k l} I_{p j m n}+I_{i D m n} I_{p j k l}\right) / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

A matrix with a characteristic function $V_{0}$ and the moduli $L_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}$ contains the set $X=\left(V_{k}\right.$, $L^{(k)}, \mathscr{L}^{(k)}$ ) of ellipsoids $v_{k}$ with characteristic functions $V_{k}$, the half-axes $a_{k}^{i}$, the orientations $\omega_{k}$, the centers $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$, and the moduli $\mathrm{L}^{(\mathrm{k})}, \mathscr{L}^{(k)}$.

Here and in what follows, we use the notations of tensor equations, omitting indices. The product of tensors is assumed to be their convolution by inner indices. The direct tensor product is denoted by the symbol ©. Standard hypotheses for microinhomogeneous media are adopted [1-6]: All random fields are statistically homogeneous and ergodic. Thus, the statistical averaging over an ensemble can be replaced by averaging over a characteristic volume:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle(\cdot)\rangle=(\text { mes } w)^{-1} \int(\cdot) W(r) d r,\langle(\cdot)\rangle_{\alpha}=\left(\operatorname{mes} v_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \int(\cdot) V_{\alpha}(r) d r \\
(\alpha=0,1, \ldots) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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We also use the notation $\left\langle(\cdot) \mid x_{2} ; x_{1}\right\rangle$ for the conditional average over an ensemble $X$ under the condition that there are inclusions at the points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, and $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$. The components refer to different phases $\mathrm{X}_{\alpha}$ if at least one of the parameters $a_{\alpha}, \omega_{\alpha}, L^{(\alpha)}, \mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}$ has different values.

The equilibrium equation for a microinhomogeneous medium, disregarding mass forces, appears as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left[\left(L_{0}+L_{1}(x)\right) \varepsilon(x)+\left(\mathscr{L}_{0}+\mathscr{L}_{1}(x)\right) \varepsilon(x) \otimes \varepsilon(x)\right]=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the operation of symmetrized gradients:

$$
L_{1}(x)=\sum_{k} V_{k}(x)\left(L^{(k)}-L_{0}\right), \mathscr{L}_{1}=\sum_{k} V_{k}(x)\left(\mathscr{L}^{(h)}-\mathscr{L}_{0}\right) .
$$

Equation (1.3) is nonlinear. For obtaining final results that can be visualized, we adopt linearization of (1.3), which assumes the homogeneity of $\varepsilon(x) \otimes \varepsilon(x)$ within the phase $X_{\alpha}$ : $\varepsilon(\mathrm{x}) \otimes \varepsilon(\mathrm{x}) \equiv\langle\varepsilon(\mathrm{x}) \otimes \varepsilon(\mathrm{x})\rangle_{\alpha}$ at $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}_{\alpha}$. We denote $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{L}_{1}(\alpha)\right)^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{0}+\mathscr{L}_{1}^{(\alpha)}\right)\langle\varepsilon(\mathrm{x}) \otimes$ $\varepsilon(x)>_{\alpha}, q(x)=q_{0}$ at $x \in X_{0}, q_{1}(x)=\sum_{k=1}\left(q(x)-q_{0}\right) V_{k}$. The rule of calculation of the piecewise constant tensor of the second rank $q$ is described below. In our notations, (1.3) appears as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(L_{0}+L_{1}(x)\right)[\varepsilon(x)+q(x)]=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Within notations, it coincides with its counterpart relation from linear theories of gassaturated porous media [2] and thermal elasticity [3] of microinhomogeneous media. Thus, we can employ for solution of (1.4) the techniques of the effective field method proposed earlier [1-3]. Specifically, with the aid of the fundamental solution $G$ of the equation for the equilibrium of a homogeneous linearly elastic medium with the modulus $L_{0}$, we reduce (1.4) to an integral equation for modified deformation $e=\varepsilon-q_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=\langle e\rangle+\int \nabla \nabla^{G}(x-y)\left\{L_{1}(y) e(y)-\left(L_{0}+L_{1}(y)\right) q_{1}(y)-\left[\left\langle L_{1} e\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) q_{1}\right\rangle\right] d y\right. \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing (1.5) in stresses and taking into account that $\langle\sigma\rangle=\sigma^{0}$, we obtain

$$
\sigma(x)=\sigma^{0}+\int \Gamma(x-y)\left\{M_{1}(y) \sigma(y)-q_{1}(y)-\left[\left\langle M_{1} \sigma\right\rangle-\left\langle q_{1}\right\rangle\right]\right\} d y .
$$

Here, $M_{0}=L_{0}^{-1} ; M_{0}+M_{1}(x) \equiv M_{0}+M_{1}(k) \equiv\left(L_{0}-L_{1}(k)\right)^{-1}$ at $x \in v_{k}$ is the compliance of the k -th inclusion; $\Gamma(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})=-\mathrm{L}_{0}\left(\mathrm{I} \delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})+\nabla \nabla \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{L}_{0}\right)$; $\delta$ is the $\delta$-function.

The effective moduli of the second and third orders in the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\sigma\rangle=L_{*}\langle\varepsilon\rangle+\mathscr{L}_{*}\langle\varepsilon\rangle \otimes\langle\varepsilon\rangle \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be found by averaging local equation (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{*}=L_{0}+\left\langle L_{1} A^{*}\right\rangle, \mathscr{L}_{*}=\sum_{v=1}\left\langle L_{1}^{(v)} \mathscr{F}_{1}^{(v)}\right\rangle_{v}+\sum_{\alpha=0} \xi_{\alpha} \mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(\alpha)}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{\alpha}=\left\langle V_{\alpha}\right\rangle$; the tensors of the fourth rank $A^{*}$, the sixth rank $\mathscr{F}_{1}$, and the eighth rank $\mathscr{F}_{2}$ define the average concentration of deformations in a component $\mathrm{X}_{\alpha}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathrm{x}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varepsilon\rangle_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha}^{*}\langle\varepsilon\rangle+\mathscr{F}_{1}(x)\langle\varepsilon\rangle \otimes\langle\varepsilon\rangle,\langle\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon\rangle_{\alpha}=\mathscr{F}_{2}(x)\langle\varepsilon\rangle \otimes\langle\varepsilon\rangle . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Evaluation of Average Deformations in the Components. We fix an arbitrary realization of the field X and examine an effective field $\overline{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$, which contains an inclusion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{e}(x)=\langle e\rangle+\int U(x-y)\left\{V(y ; x)\left[L_{1}(y) e(y)+\left(L_{0}+L_{1}(y)\right) q_{1}(y)\right]-\left[\left\langle L_{1} e\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) q_{1}\right\rangle\right\}\right\} d y \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.V(y ; x)=V(y)-V_{k}(x), V(y)=\sum_{k=1} V_{k}(y), U=\nabla \nabla G\right) .
\end{array} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The field $X$, and therefore also $\bar{e}$, are random. In order to determine $\langle\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ 〉, we will make use of the hypothesis of the effective field method described in detail in [1, 2]: 1) the field of $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ is homogeneous in the neighborhood of each point inclusion; 2) every $n(n>1)$ inclusions exist in a generally inhomogenenous field $\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, n$ of their own.

From the homogeneous field $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ we can determined unequivocally a homogeneous field of strains inside each inclusion［2］：

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=A_{k}\left(\bar{e}-P_{k}\left(L_{0}+L_{1}^{(k)}\right) q_{1}\right), A_{k}=\left(I+P_{k} L_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and the constant tensor $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}=-\int \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy}\left(\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is known．
We will describe the structure of a composite material by a function $\varphi\left(v_{m} / v_{k}\right)$－the conditional density of the distribution of the $m$－th inclusion in the region $v_{m}$ at a fixed inclusion in the region $v_{m}$ at a fixed inclusion in the region $v_{k}$ ．Since inclusions do not overlap，we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(v_{m} \mid v_{k}\right) \equiv \psi\left(\omega_{m}\right)\left(1-V_{k m}^{\prime}\right) f_{k m}(|r|)(\operatorname{mes} W)^{-1} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the normalization condition $\left\langle\psi\left(\omega_{m}\right)\right\rangle=1$ in the absence of the near oder $f_{k m}(|r|)=n_{\nu}$ ， $v=1,2, \ldots$ ，if $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \in \mathrm{X}_{\nu} ; \mathrm{n}_{\nu}$ a countable concentration of inclusions of the components $\mathrm{X}_{\nu}$ ，is linked with the volumetric concentration $\xi_{\nu}=(4 / 3) \pi a_{v}^{1} a_{v}^{2} a_{v}^{3} \mathrm{n}_{\nu} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{km}}{ }^{\prime}$ is the character－ istic function of a sphere $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{km}}$＇with the center $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and the radius $a_{k m}=\min a_{m}^{i}+\max a_{k}^{i}$ ．

Averaging（2．1）on the set $X\left(\cdot \mid x_{k}\right)$ ，by means of（2．3）and assuming hypothesis 1 of the effective field，we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\overline{e_{k}}\right\rangle=\langle e\rangle+\int U(x-y)\left\{\left\langle\left[L_{1} A(y) \bar{e}(y)+A(y)\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) q_{1}\right] V(y ; x) \mid y ; x\right\rangle-\left[\left\langle L_{1} A \bar{e}\right\rangle+\left\langle A\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) q_{1}\right\rangle\right]\right\} d y . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For calculating conditional moments in（2．4），we adopt hypothesis 2 with $n=2$ and the first approximations of the solution of the problem of binary interaction of inclusions in a homogeneous matrix［3］．By analogy with［3］，we write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{e}=D(\langle e\rangle+\langle F\rangle),  \tag{2.5}\\
D=\left(I-P_{0}\langle R\rangle-\int\left\langle J_{12}\left(1-V_{12}^{\prime}\right) f_{12}\right\rangle_{12} d x_{2}\right)^{-1}, \\
F=P_{0} R q_{1}+\int\left\langle T_{12}\left(1-V_{12}^{\prime}\right) f_{12} q_{1}\right\rangle_{12} d x_{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{k}=L_{1}(k)_{A_{k}} \vec{v}_{k} ; \vec{v}_{k}=$ mes $v_{k} ; P_{0}=P\left(v_{k m}{ }^{\prime}\right) ; J_{12}=U R_{2} U R_{1} ; T_{12}=U R R_{2} U A_{1}\left(L_{0}+L_{1}(k)\right)$ ； $《 \cdot\rangle_{k m}$ denote the operation of averaging with respect to $\omega_{k}, \omega_{m}, a_{k m}$ and the positions $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of the sphere of radius $|r|=\left|x_{k}-x_{m}\right|$ with center at $x_{m}$ ．

From（2．5）we determine the mean strain in the components of the inclusions $X_{V}(\nu=$ $1,2,3, \ldots$ ）and the matrix $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ：

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\varepsilon_{v}\right\rangle=A_{v} D\left\{\langle\varepsilon\rangle-P_{v}\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) D^{-1} q_{1}+q_{0}+\langle F\rangle\right\},  \tag{2.6}\\
(1-\xi)\langle\varepsilon\rangle_{0}=\langle\varepsilon\rangle-\sum_{v=1} \xi_{v}\langle\varepsilon\rangle_{v}, \quad A_{v}^{*}=A_{v} D, \\
A_{0}^{*}=(I-\langle A D V\rangle)(1-\xi)^{-1}, \quad \xi=\langle V\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

Expressions of $A^{*}$ in（2．6）make it possible to determine the effective second－order modulus $L_{*}$ from（1．7）．Assuming equiprobable orientation of inclusions，the tensors 〈R〉， $\left\langle\left\langle T_{12}\right\rangle_{12},\left\langle T_{12}\right\rangle_{12}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L} \%\right.$ are isotropic，and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.《 J_{12}\right\rangle_{12}=\left(3 J_{12}^{1}, 2 J_{12}^{2}\right), \quad 《 T_{12}\right\rangle_{12}=\left(3 T_{12}^{1}, 2 T_{12}^{2}\right), \quad 3 J_{12}^{1}=2 \beta^{2}\left(3 \bar{k}_{1}\right)\left(2 \bar{\mu}_{2}\right)|r|^{-6}, \\
2 J_{12}^{1}=(2 / 5)\left[\beta^{2}\left(3 \bar{k}_{2}\right)\left(2 \bar{\mu}_{1}\right)+\left(2 \bar{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(2 \bar{\mu}_{2}\right)\left(7 \gamma^{2}-\eta^{2} / 4+2 \beta \eta\right)\right]|r|^{-6}, \\
\beta=\left(3 k_{0}+4 \mu_{0}\right)^{-1}, \eta=\left(3 \mu_{0}\right)^{-1}, \gamma=\left(3 k_{0}+4 \mu_{0}\right)\left[3 \mu_{0}\left(3 k_{0}+4 \mu_{0}\right)\right]^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

where for the isotropic tensor $B_{i j k \ell}$ we adopt the notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=\left(3 B^{1}, 2 B^{2}\right)=3 B^{1} N^{1}+2 B^{2} N^{2}, \\
\left\langle L_{\mathrm{a}}^{(i)} A_{i}\right\rangle \prod_{j=1}^{3} a_{i}^{j}=\left(3 \bar{k}_{i}, 2 \overline{\mu_{i}}\right), \quad\left\langle L_{1} A\right\rangle=\int L_{1} A \psi(\omega) d \omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

To obtain $3 \mathrm{~T}_{12}{ }^{1}, 2 \mathrm{~T}_{12}{ }^{2}$ we must in $2 \mathrm{~J}_{12}{ }^{2}$ replace $\left(3 \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{1}, 2 \bar{\mu}_{1}\right)$ with $\left(3 \mathrm{t}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\left\langle\left(\mathrm{L}_{0}+\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{L}_{1}\left({ }^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{A}_{1}>\prod_{j=1}^{3} a_{i}^{i}$ ．
3. Calculation of $\mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2}, \mathscr{L}_{*}$. So far we have assumed that $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ are known. However, by assumption, these tensors are dependent on the second moments of strain fields in the components. In that case, problem (1.3) is nonlinear. For estimating constant tensors $q_{\alpha}(\alpha=0,1, \ldots)$ we employ the method of successive approximations from [4]:
 $\varepsilon(n)>$ are estimated by using the method of [1] for known $q_{\alpha}(n)$. For reducing derivations in (1.8) we take the first iterative approximation of $\langle\varepsilon(0) \otimes \varepsilon(0)\rangle_{\alpha}$ and $\langle\varepsilon(1)\rangle_{1}$. The calculation of the second moment of $\left\langle\varepsilon(0) \otimes \varepsilon(0)_{\rangle_{\alpha}}\right.$ can be conducted by constructing a correlation function of strain fields by using the method of [1]. If in that case the solution of the problem of binary interaction of inclusions [1] by successive approximations takes into account, as in (2.5), the terms of the series that decrease at infinity not faster than $J_{12}$, it can be demonstrated that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varepsilon^{(0)} \otimes \varepsilon^{(0)}\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\left\langle\varepsilon^{(0)}\right\rangle_{\alpha} \otimes\left\langle\varepsilon^{(0)}\right\rangle_{\alpha}(\alpha=0,1, \ldots) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Comparing (1.8) with (2.6) and (3.1), we obtain } \\
& \qquad \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(\alpha)}=A_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes A_{\alpha}^{*}, \mathscr{F}_{1}^{(v)}=A_{v} D\left\{L_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{0} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)}-P_{v} D^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}^{(v)} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(v)}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-L^{(v)} L_{0} \mathscr{L}_{0} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)}\right)+P_{0}\left\langle\left[\left(\mathscr{L}_{0}+\mathscr{L}_{1}\right) A \mathscr{F}_{2}-\left(L_{0}+L_{1}\right) A L_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{0} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)}\right)\right] V\right\rangle+ \\
& \left.\left.+\int 《 U R_{2} U\left[\mathscr{L}^{(1)} A_{1} \mathscr{F}_{2}-L A_{1} L_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{0} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)}\right]\left(1-V_{12}^{\prime}\right) f_{12}\right\rangle_{12} d x_{2}\right\}-L_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{0} \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(0)} \quad(\alpha=0,1, \ldots ; v=1,2, \ldots)
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, we define $\mathscr{F}_{1}^{(0)}$. Substituting the values of $\mathscr{F}_{1}^{(\alpha)}, \mathscr{F}_{2}^{(\alpha)}$ from (3.1) into (1.7), we find the effective elastic modulus of the third order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{*}=\sum_{\alpha=0} \xi_{\alpha} \mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)} \otimes A_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes A_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes A_{\alpha}^{*} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is a generalization extending the corresponding relation from [6] to an arbitrary number of components. For two-component composite materials, (3.2) coincides within notations with the expression in [6]. The sole difference is in specific equations for $A_{\alpha}{ }^{*}$, i.e., in the solution of the linearly elastic problem.

Generally, the tensors $A_{\alpha}{ }^{*}$, and therefore also $L_{*}, \mathscr{L}_{*}$ are anisotropic. At an equiprobable orientation of the inclusions $A_{\alpha} *, L_{*}, \mathscr{L}_{*}$ are isotropic: $A_{\alpha}{ }^{*}=\left(3 r_{\alpha}, 2 s_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{L}_{* i j m n k l}=a_{*} \delta_{i j} \delta_{m n} \delta_{k l}+b_{*}\left(\delta_{i j} I_{m n k l}+\delta_{m n} I_{i j k l}+\delta_{k l} I_{i j k l}\right)+c_{*} J_{i j m u k l}, \\
a_{*}=\sum_{\alpha=0} 3 \xi_{\alpha}\left[9 a_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}^{3}+3 b_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}\left(3 r_{\alpha}+2 s_{\alpha}\right)+c_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{2}\left(p_{\alpha}+2 s_{\alpha}\right)\right] \\
b_{*}=\sum_{\alpha=0} \xi_{\alpha}\left(2 s_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\left(3 b_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}+c_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}\right), \quad c_{*}=\sum_{\alpha=0} \xi_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}\left(2 s_{\alpha}\right)^{3} \\
\left(3 \mathrm{p}_{\alpha}=3 \mathrm{r}_{\alpha}-2 \mathrm{~s}_{\alpha} ; a_{\alpha}, \mathrm{b}_{\alpha}, \quad \mathrm{c}_{\alpha} \text { are the components of } \mathscr{L}^{(\alpha)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example. Since differences in the estimates of $\mathscr{L}_{*}$ on the basis of our method and the results of [6] are connected with the solution of the linearly elastic problem of calculation of $A_{\alpha}{ }^{*}$, we will make a quantitative comparison of $A_{\alpha} *$ computed by the method of condi-


tional moments of [6] and by the effective field method of [1]. For rigid spherical inclusions of the same size in an incompressible matrix we obtain from (2.2), (2.6), and (1.8)

$$
2 \bar{s}=2 s_{1}\left(\mu_{*} / \mu_{0}-1\right)=5(2-31 \xi / 8)^{-1} \text { and } 2 \bar{s}=(5 / 2-\xi)(1-\xi)^{-2}
$$

by the method of conditional moments \{curves 1 and 2 in Fig. 1 , respectively; the points represent the experimental data of [7] on the variations of the effective Newtonian viscosity of suspensions in response to a growth in $\xi$, replotted in the coordinates $\bar{s} \sim \xi$ with the aid of (1.7)\}. For spherical and flat spheroidal pores similar estimates have been compared in [2]. Figure 2 plots $c_{*}(\xi)$ calculated from (2.6) and (3.2) for 09G2S steel with spherical pores of the same size and the following parameters ( Pa ) : $\lambda_{0}=9.44 \cdot 10^{10}, \mu_{0}=$ $7.9 \cdot 10^{10}, a_{0}=-82.5 \cdot 10^{10}, b_{0}=-30.9 \cdot 10^{10}, c_{0}=-79.9 \cdot 10^{10}$. The value of $c_{*}(\xi)$ at $\xi=0.4$ on curve 1 in Fig. 2 is greater by $20 \%$ than the estimate by the method of conditional moments [6]. We should note that for a porous medium the ratio of $c_{*}$ values based on (2.6) and (3.2) to those calculated by the method of [6] is equal to the cube of $s_{0}$. Therefore, the difference in estimates of $c_{*}$ by (2.6) and (3.2) and by [6] will grow as k increases and as the shape of the inclusions approaches a spheroid [2]. Indeed, for spherical pores and $k_{0}=\infty$, we show in Fig. 2 the values of $c_{*} /\left[c_{0}(1-\xi)\right] \sim \xi$ (curves 2 and 3 ) calculated from formulas of [6] and from (2.6) and (3.2), respectively.
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